





# COSMOLOGICAL PARAMETERS. WITH PLANCK

Planck 2015 results. XIII Planck 2015 results. XI

Marina Migliaccio for the Planck Collaboration

Cosmo Cruise – 5<sup>th</sup> September 2015





May 2009: Launched from Kourou



Mar 2013: Data Release and Cosmology Results Nominal Mission Temperature data



Oct 2013: Planck 'Shut Down'



**Feb 2015**: Data Release and Cosmology Results Full Mission Temperature and (preliminary) Polarization data



2016: Legacy Data & Paper Release

#### TEMPERATURE



# **Planck Likelihood**

EUROPE	AN SPACE AGENCY	SCIENCE & TEC	HNOLOGY 🗗					SIGN IN
Planck Legacy Archive							esa	
						Release	°R2 - 2015	-
() () ()	E RESULTS		Close All << PR2 Cosr			smology #1 🔷 🔻		
	Cosmology	products (7) 🗙						
<b>&gt;</b> -	0 selecte	d items				Ē		<i>ש</i>
$\sim$			File name	Size	Product type	Rel	ease	
9		<b></b>	COM_Likelihood_Code-v2.0_R2.00.tar.bz2	1.5 MB	Likelihood	Р	R2	
		<b></b>	COM_Likelihood_Data-baseline_R2.00.tar.gz	299.6 MB	Likelihood	Р	R2	
		<b></b>	COM_Likelihood_Data-extra-lensing-ext_R2.00.tar.gz	1.2 MB	Likelihood	Р	R2	
		<u>.</u>	COM_Likelihood_Data-extra-plik-DS_R2.00.tar.gz	55.1 MB	Likelihood	Р	R2	
			COM_Likelihood_Data-extra-plik-HM-ext_R2.00.tar.gz	46.3 MB	Likelihood	Р	R2	
			COM_Likelihood_Data-extra-plik-unbinned_R2.00.tar.gz	4.2 GB	Likelihood	P	R2	
			COM Likelihood Masks P2 00 tar gz	274.6 MB	Likelihood	P	P2	

# **Planck Likelihood**

 $P(\text{model} \mid \text{data}) \propto P(\text{data} \mid \text{model}) P(\text{model})$ 



CAMB to compute theoretical CMB power spectra COSMOMC to sample the parameter space

#### Hybrid approach

- Low- $\ell$  likelihood at large angular scales ( $\ell$  < 30)
- High- $\ell$  likelihood at small angular scales ( $\ell \ge 30$ )

## Low-l Likelihood

**Commander map** from Planck, WMAP9 and 408 MHz (Haslam et al.)



f<sub>SKY</sub> = 93%

#### Foreground cleaned 70 GHz

Using 30 GHz for synchrotron and 353 GHz for dust



Full pixel based Gaussian Likelihood

$$\mathcal{L}(C_{\ell}) = \mathcal{P}(\boldsymbol{m}|C_{\ell}) = \frac{1}{2\pi|\mathsf{M}|^{1/2}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\boldsymbol{m}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathsf{M}^{-1}\boldsymbol{m}\right)$$

FULL PIXEL BASED GAUSSIAN LIKELIHOOD

$$\mathcal{L}(C_{\ell}) = \mathcal{P}(\boldsymbol{m}|C_{\ell}) = \frac{1}{2\pi|\mathsf{M}|^{1/2}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\boldsymbol{m}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathsf{M}^{-1}\boldsymbol{m}\right)$$



FULL PIXEL BASED GAUSSIAN LIKELIHOOD

$$\mathcal{L}(C_{\ell}) = \mathcal{P}(\boldsymbol{m}|C_{\ell}) = \frac{1}{2\pi|\mathsf{M}|^{1/2}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\boldsymbol{m}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathsf{M}^{-1}\boldsymbol{m}\right)$$



# HIGH-<sup>*l*</sup> LIKELIHOOD

### MASKS



#### **TEMPERATURE FREQUENCY POWER SPECTRA**



### **PARAMETRIC FOREGROUND MODEL**

TEMPERATURE



12 foreground parameters in total for all the channels

#### **POLARIZATION FREQUENCY POWER SPECTRA**



Extragalactic foregrounds are negligible (c.f. also SPT and ACT). Dust is the only component of the foreground model in polarization

$$C_\ell^{
m dust} \propto \ell^{-2.4}$$

12 foreground parameters in total for all the channels

### HIGH- LIKELIHOOD

Compress data by computing the power spectra

> Analytical approximations to compute power spectrum covariance matrices

- fiducial model spectra at each frequency (CMB + FGs)
- model of noise
- correction for point source leakage effect
- beam error marginalization

Construct a fiducial Gaussian likelihood

$$-\ln \mathcal{L}(\hat{\boldsymbol{C}}|\boldsymbol{C}(\theta)) = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \hat{\boldsymbol{C}} - \boldsymbol{C}(\theta) \right]^{\mathsf{T}} \mathsf{C}^{-1} \left[ \hat{\boldsymbol{C}} - \boldsymbol{C}(\theta) \right] + \text{const}$$

 $\geq \theta$  = {Cosmological parameters, parametric foreground model to marginalize over (24 parameters), and calibration parameters (3 parameters)}

### HIGH-*L*IKELIHOOD

Large number of robustness checks:

- ✓ Different likelihood code implementations (Plik, CamSpec, Hillipop, Mspec, Xfaster)
- ✓ Different multipole range choices
- ✓ Removal of single frequencies
- ✓ Change the analysis masks
- ✓ Different foreground modeling: parametric vs map-based
- ✓ Validation on realistic Monte Carlo simulations

## Base **ACDM**

Good fit to the data High precision parameter estimates even better than 1%

Parameter	[1] Planck TT+lowP	[2] Planck TE+lowP	[3] Planck EE+lowP	[4] Planck TT, TE, EE+lowP
$\overline{\Omega_{\rm b}h^2}$	$0.02222 \pm 0.00023$	$0.02228 \pm 0.00025$	$0.0240 \pm 0.0013$	$0.02225 \pm 0.00016$
$\Omega_{\rm c}^{\rm h^2}h^2$	$0.1197 \pm 0.0022$	$0.1187 \pm 0.0021$	$0.1150^{+0.0048}_{-0.0055}$	$0.1198 \pm 0.0015$
$100\theta_{MC}$	$1.04085 \pm 0.00047$	$1.04094 \pm 0.00051$	$1.03988 \pm 0.00094$	$1.04077 \pm 0.00032$
τ	$0.078 \pm 0.019$	$0.053 \pm 0.019$	$0.059^{+0.022}_{-0.019}$	$0.079 \pm 0.017$
$\ln(10^{10}A_{\rm s})$	$3.089 \pm 0.036$	$3.031 \pm 0.041$	$3.066^{+0.046}_{-0.041}$	$3.094 \pm 0.034$
<i>n</i> <sub>s</sub>	$0.9655 \pm 0.0062$	$0.965 \pm 0.012$	$0.973 \pm 0.016$	$0.9645 \pm 0.0049$
$H_0$	$67.31 \pm 0.96$	$67.73 \pm 0.92$	$70.2 \pm 3.0$	$67.27 \pm 0.66$
$\Omega_{\rm m}$	$0.315 \pm 0.013$	$0.300 \pm 0.012$	$0.286^{+0.027}_{-0.038}$	$0.3156 \pm 0.0091$
$\sigma_8$	$0.829 \pm 0.014$	$0.802 \pm 0.018$	$0.796 \pm 0.024$	$0.831 \pm 0.013$
$10^9 A_{\rm s} e^{-2\tau}$	$1.880\pm0.014$	$1.865\pm0.019$	$1.907 \pm 0.027$	$1.882\pm0.012$

Almost independent determinations from polarized spectra - good consistency

TT  $\rightarrow$  EE at most 1 $\sigma$  shifts

TT  $\rightarrow$  TE at most 0.5 $\sigma$  shifts

TE results are already almost as powerful as TT



### GOING FROM **2013** TO **2015**



[1] Parameter	[2] 2013N(DS)	[6] 2015F(CHM) (Plik)	$([2] - [6]) / \sigma_{[6]}$
100 <i>θ</i> <sub>MC</sub>	$1.04131 \pm 0.00063$	$1.04086 \pm 0.00048$	0.71
$\Omega_b h^2$	$0.02205 \pm 0.00028$	$0.02222 \pm 0.00023$	-0.61
$\Omega_c h^2$	$0.1199 \pm 0.0027$	$0.1199 \pm 0.0022$	0.00
$H_0$	$67.3 \pm 1.2$	$67.26 \pm 0.98$	0.03
$n_{\rm s}$	$0.9603 \pm 0.0073$	$0.9652 \pm 0.0062$	-0.67
$\Omega_{\rm m}$	$0.315 \pm 0.017$	$0.316 \pm 0.014$	-0.06
$\sigma_8$	$0.829 \pm 0.012$	$0.830 \pm 0.015$	-0.08
au	$0.089 \pm 0.013$	$0.078 \pm 0.019$	0.85
$10^9 A_{\rm s} e^{-2\tau}$	$1.836\pm0.013$	$1.881 \pm 0.014$	-3.46

- Improved TOI processing
- Improved calibration and beam description
- Better handling of 4K lines systematics
- Full Mission Data
- Increase in sky area
- Correction of low level correlated noise between detectors (cross half-mission maps)
- Refined foreground treatment (dust at all frequencies, new CIB model, ...)
- Alternate Likelihood code
- New Planck low-ell likelihood



#### **TEMPERATURE BEST FIT POWER SPECTRUM**



#### **TEMPERATURE BEST FIT POWER SPECTRUM**



#### **POLARIZATION BEST FIT POWER SPECTRA**



Residual low level systematics are still present (e.g.,  $T \rightarrow P$  leakage,...)

## **Other datasets**



H<sub>0</sub> prior (70.6±3.3) km s<sup>-1</sup> Mpc<sup>-1</sup> (Efstathiou 2014, reanalysis of Reiss et al 2011)

**JLA** Type Ia SuperNova (SNLS + SDSS + Iow-z SNe)

Provide sensitivity to parameters affecting the late-time expansion, geometry, and matter clustering

 $\rightarrow$  they break degeneracies in the CMB alone analysis

## a lensing conundrum





First detection of lensing in polarization spectra

$$A_L = 1.22 \pm 0.10$$
  
 $A_L^{\phi\phi} = 0.95 \pm 0.04$ 

A larger amount of lensing helps to fit the spectra at  $\ell \sim 1300$  - 1500. Opening up A<sub>L</sub> the CMB solution tries to fit the power deficit in the TT power spectrum at low multipoles and around the second peak.

### **POLARIZATION AND REIONIZATION**

In 2015 new low-*l* likelihood entirely based on Planck polarization data

Planck TT + lowP + lensing + BAO

 $au = 0.066 \pm 0.013$  $z_{\rm re} = 8.8^{+1.3}_{-1.2}$ 

Optical depth and reionization redshift lower than with WMAP's polarization

#### (2013)

a $z_{
m re} = 11.1 \pm 1.1$  agreement with astrophysical observations and models of galaxy formation

Note: when we clean the dust in WMAP with 353 GHz we get a lower tau value compatible with Planck







# Neutrinos

Σm <sub>v</sub> [eV] (95% CL)	2013	2015	2015 + TE, EE
PlanckTT+lowP	< 0.933	< 0.715 (23%)	< 0.492 (46%)
PlanckTT+lowP+lensing	< 1.110	< 0.675 (38%)	< 0.589 (46%)
PlanckTT+lowP+BAO	< 0.247	< 0.214 (16%)	< 0.168 (32%)
PlanckTT+lowP+ext		< 0.197	< 0.153
PlanckTT+lowP +lensing+ext		<0.234	<0.194

In 2015 higher S/N spectra at high-ell plus polarization data  $\rightarrow$  better constraints on lensing.

A<sub>L</sub> problem: the mild tension between lensing from CMB spectra and lensing reconstruction limits the improvement in neutrino mass from CMB+lensing

However, constraints are already better than the expected sensitivity by the KATRIN experiment ( $\sim 0.2 \text{ eV}$ )

Planck measures  $N_{eff}$  in perfect agreement with the standard value

 $N_{eff} > 0$  confirmed at ~ 15 $\sigma$ 

 $N_{eff}$  = 4 excluded at ~  $3\sigma$ 





Planck data allow for value an order of magnitude higher than expected in single field models of inflation, where the running of the spectra index is of second order in inflationary slow-roll parameters

$$\left|\frac{dn_s}{dlnk}\right| \approx (n_s - 1)^2 \approx 10^{-3}$$



Upper limits on primordial tensor modes. The constraints from Planck are already cosmic variance limited. They are also model dependent. To improve we need direct detection of primordial B-modes. Quite a number of sub-orbital experiments are ongoing or planned in the coming years, there is potential for breakthroughs



# **Dark Energy?**

$$w = w_0 + (1 - a)w_a$$

 $\Delta \chi^2 \approx 2$ 

 $w = -1.54^{+0.62}_{-0.50}$  (95%, *Planck* TT+lowP).

Planck alone is about  $2\sigma$  away from the standard result

- Strong geometrical degeneracy
- Partially a parameter volume effect
- Partially same pull as for A<sub>L</sub>

But if we add external datasets, we get a **good agreement with the cosmological constant scenario** 



Cf. Planck 2015 results. XIV.

### CONCLUSIONS

- ✓ Planck data are more precise than those from any previous CMB experiment
- ✓ Cosmological parameters at unprecedented (sub-%) precision from the 2015 analysis
- ✓ First results from polarization in agreement with temperature
- ✓ Some low level systematics in polarization that will be better characterized in the next release, including also a low-ℓ analysis of HFI
- ✓ Yet we find no compelling evidence for new physics beyond the base inflationary ∧CDM model of Cosmology
  - -> if there are deviations they ought to be small and challenging to detect
- ✓ There are, however, some anomalies ( $A_L$ ,  $\Omega_k$ ,  $dn_s$ /dlnk, power deficit at large angular scales)
- ✓ And some tension with astrophysical datasets on  $\sigma_8$  (but see also Alexandre's talk)

**Acknowledgements**: the scientific results presented here are a product of the Planck Collaboration, including individuals from more than 100 institutes in Europe, the USA and Canada.



### **THANK YOU**