



Cosmology with Planck Nazzareno Mandolesi ASI, INAF, Università di Ferrara Santander, 24 June 2013

### Planck unveils the Cosmic Microwave Background



### **Planck History in Brief**

- First conceived in 1992, proposed to ESA in 1993
- Payload approved in 1996
- Launched in May 2009, started to survey the sky in August of the same year
- Nominal mission completed at the end of 2010
  - but continued to gather data with the full payload until January 2012
  - ... and it continues to gather data with LFI only until the fall (August end of 8 full sky survey)
- Planck is an ESA mission: ESA, European industries, and the international technological and scientific community have contributed to its realisation and success
- The Planck payload has been founded by the European members state Space Agencies and by NASA: ASI and CNES are the leading Agencies.
  - Thousands of engineers and scientists were involved from ~100 scientific institutes in Europe, the USA, and Canada
  - Two scientific Consorzia (LFI led by N. Mandolesi and HFI by Jean Loup Puget) were responsible for the delivery of the Instruments to ESA, the mission data analysis and the delivery of the data and results to the open scientific community





A proposal submitted in response to ESA M3 Call for Mission Ideas (May 1998)

#### COBRAS

COsmic Background Radiation Anisotropy Satellite

SAMBA

Satellite for Measurements of Background Anisotropies



Figure 7 - The proposed geometrical disposition of the feed elements in the focal plane array is shown. The higher frequency elements (96-125 GHz) are more associate to beam distortion effects and have been clustered near the center of the array. Our preliminary study shows that come lobes of the most decentered elements are expected to be below -40 dB  $(10^{-4.5})$  at 125 GHz and below -55 dB  $(10^{-5.5})$  at 30 GHz.



























## 21 March 2013: 29 papers delivered About 1000 pages all together

















a Agency takelite does to beat map of the colorate at it appeared 270300 pears after the Big Bang. Page A30.

#### Bronx Inspector, Secretly Taped, Once Few, Women Hold More Power in Senate The and the second sector and the sector and th

#### Suggests Race Is a Factor in Stops

VOL. CLXD ... No. 56,040

A restrict of a state of the st

#### Fast-Growing Brokerage Firm Often Tangles With Regulators

#### IL NATIONAL POPPER

harkenigh Wall Stearts Invest contours, LPL, Trouwchi, ontours, LPL, Trouwchi, Statistics, Konth and other prod Statistics, Konth and other prod

PRTERNATIONAL A&AL

Straggle Over Drone Program

hasp is promise to long more trans-

Kurds Sock Truce With Turkey

part of a newly robust who

The order product of particular distance of the order partin distance of the order particular distance of the order par





ordened all his fighters Pacifica Rind Storenz, Star at the Mat. Real Servers, the neuro-organic who had a D proc server with the Matropol las Casco, where the practically review No Progress on Border Data the role of Carimen during the 18th and Vib, duel at the age of 95. Project For the product of the setting. Composed they would produce the first standards to an area to relate according the model located by officials according to the balance completed the term measures. POCE 4 NEW YORK AND JA School and Abuse Victims Talk 54 Chicago Schools Closing Orleage reliations in public schemic, about a percent of the derivat. The city

Party technine statests of the pre-tigine linear Mate Edeal vie cay they earn amongly depending staff. mandace been the Wilson's Wilson's unit's is negativity competential, with the forms wheel, Facility said that the chrosen would use 100

ORFU ADDITION OF



EDITORIAL OF ED ANNUR





52.50

Ownersping Waringson eri Okama capitar







### The visible night sky







#### The sky as seen by Planck





### Emission from the Milky Way



# **Compact galactic and extragalactic sources**







### **Clusters of galaxies**

Planck SZ catalog









### **Planck versus WMAP**





### **Comparison w/ forerunners**







# The anisotropies of the CMB



esa

NIFE





















### 6 parameters of ΛCDM

- Baryon density
- Cold dark matter density
- Reionisation optical depth
- Peak scale
- Primordial spectral index
- Primordial amplitude

	Planck (CMB+lensing)		Planck+WP+highL+BAO	
Parameter	Best fit	68 % limits	Best fit	68 % limits
$\Omega_{ m b}h^2$	0.022242	$0.02217 \pm 0.00033$	0.022161	$0.02214 \pm 0.00024$
$\Omega_{\rm c}h^2$	0.11805	$0.1186 \pm 0.0031$	0.11889	$0.1187 \pm 0.0017$
$100\theta_{MC}$	1.04150	$1.04141 \pm 0.00067$	1.04148	$1.04147 \pm 0.00056$
τ	0.0949	$0.089 \pm 0.032$	0.0952	$0.092 \pm 0.013$
<i>n</i> <sub>s</sub>	0.9675	$0.9635 \pm 0.0094$	0.9611	$0.9608 \pm 0.0054$
$\ln(10^{10}A_s)$	3.098	$3.085\pm0.057$	3.0973	$3.091 \pm 0.025$
$\Omega_{\Lambda}$	0.6964	$0.693 \pm 0.019$	0.6914	$0.692 \pm 0.010$
$\sigma_8$	0.8285	$0.823 \pm 0.018$	0.8288	$0.826 \pm 0.012$
Z <sub>re</sub>	11.45	$10.8^{+3.1}_{-2.5}$	11.52	$11.3 \pm 1.1$
$H_0$	68.14	$67.9 \pm 1.5$	67.77	$67.80 \pm 0.77$
Age/Gyr	13.784	$13.796 \pm 0.058$	13.7965	$13.798 \pm 0.037$
$100\theta_*$	1.04164	$1.04156 \pm 0.00066$	1.04163	$1.04162 \pm 0.00056$
<i>r</i> <sub>drag</sub>	147.74	$147.70\pm0.63$	147.611	$147.68\pm0.45$
$r_{\rm drag}/D_{\rm V}(0.57)$	0.07207	$0.0719 \pm 0.0011$		



ി





# Tension with Hubble Constant astrophysical measurements

Planck value for the Hubble constant is in a tension with several other measurements (most notably the HST determination ).

Systematics in luminosity distance measurements can be clearly there, however this tension could be also hinting towards new physics.

The determination of Ho from Planck is indeed **model dependent**.



Tension under investigation







### Example: extra degrees of freedom from Planck+HST ?

1.0

0.8

While the Planck+WP+highL dataset is consistent with the standard 3 neutrino families framework, when we include the HST value for the Hubble constant we see a preference for extra degrees of freedom at about 95% c.l. with Neff=3.6.

A sterile neutrino with non standard decoupling could explain this effect.

Planck+WP+highL

+BAO

 $+BAO+H_{0}$ 

 $+H_0$ 

Other new physics mechanisms could explain this tension.













#### A new cosmic recipe



## Constraints on Neutrino Mass (standard 3 neutrino framework)



- Planck strongly improves previous constraints on neutrino masses.
- Planck TT spectrum prefers a lensing amplitude higher than expected (ALENS=1.2).
- Inclusion of lensing from TTTT weakens the Planck constraint by 20%
- Including BAO results in the best current constraint on neutrino masses of 0.23 eV



# Main constraint on Inflation physics



#### **GRAVITATIONAL LENSING DISTORTS IMAGES**

The gravitational effects of intervening matter bend the path of CMB light on its way from the early universe to the Planck telescope. This "gravitational lensing" distorts our image of the CMB









#### **GRAVITATIONAL LENSING OF THE CMB**

A simulated patch of CMB sky – **before lensing** 









#### **GRAVITATIONAL LENSING OF THE CMB**

A simulated patch of CMB sky – after lensing







### **Planck dark matter distribution**

Planck images of the mass distribution throughout (almost) the entire visible Universe. This is 85% Dark Matter, 15% ordinary matter....





#### PLANCK LENSING POTENTIAL POWER SPECTRUM



It is a 25 sigma effect!!





## Non Gaussianity in the CMB

Nearly perfectly Gaussian fluctuation are a prediction of the inflation.







# How test for Gaussianity? And how?

The power spectrum compares two points separated by one angle:



To check for non Gaussianity you can compare three points at two angles: the "power" bispectrum.







Phase Wavenumber	$  \phi = 0  f_{\rm NL} \pm \Delta f_{\rm NL} \ (\sigma) $	$ \phi = \pi/4  f_{\rm NL} \pm \Delta f_{\rm NL} \ (\sigma) $	$  \phi = \pi/2  f_{\rm NL} \pm \Delta f_{\rm NL} \ (\sigma) $	$      \phi = 3\pi/4 \\ f_{\rm NL} \pm \Delta f_{\rm NL} \ (\sigma) $
$k_{\rm c} = 0.01000 \ldots$	$-110 \pm 159 (-0.7)$	$-98 \pm 167 (-0.6)$	-17 ± 147 (-0.1)	56±142 ( 0.4)
$k_{\rm c} = 0.01125 \ldots$	434 ± 170 ( 2.6)	363 ± 185 ( 2.0)	57 ± 183 ( 0.3)	$-262 \pm 168 (-1.6)$
$k_{\rm c} = 0.01250 \ldots$	$-70 \pm 158 \ (-0.4)$	$130 \pm 166$ ( 0.8)	$261 \pm 167$ ( 1.6)	$233 \pm 159$ ( 1.5)
$k_{\rm c} = 0.01375 \ldots$	$35 \pm 162 (0.2)$	$291 \pm 145$ ( 2.0)	$345 \pm 147$ ( 2.3)	$235 \pm 162$ (1.5)
$k_{\rm c} = 0.01500$	$-313 \pm 144 \ (-2.2)$	$-270 \pm 137 (-2.0)$	$-95 \pm 145 (-0.7)$	$179 \pm 154$ (1.2)
$k_{\rm c} = 0.01625 \ldots$	81 ± 126 ( 0.6)	$177 \pm 141$ ( 1.2)	$165 \pm 144$ ( 1.1)	51 ± 129 ( 0.4)
$k_{\rm c} = 0.01750$	$-335 \pm 137 (-2.4)$	$-104 \pm 128$ (-0.8)	$181 \pm 117$ ( 1.5)	366 ± 126 ( 2.9)
$k_{\rm c} = 0.01875 \ldots$	$-348 \pm 118 \ (-3.0)$	$-323 \pm 120 (-2.7)$	$-126 \pm 119$ (-1.1)	$137 \pm 117$ ( 1.2)
$k_{\rm c} = 0.02000 \ldots$	$-155 \pm 110$ (-1.4)	$-298 \pm 119 (-2.5)$	$-241 \pm 113$ (-2.1)	$-44 \pm 105 (-0.4)$
$k_{\rm c} = 0.02125 \ldots$	$-43 \pm 96 (-0.4)$	$-186 \pm 107 (-1.7)$	$-229 \pm 115 (-2.0)$	$-125 \pm 104$ (-1.2)
$k_{\rm c} = 0.02250 \ldots$	$22 \pm 95$ ( 0.2)	$-115 \pm 92 (-1.2)$	$-194 \pm 105 (-1.8)$	$-148 \pm 107$ (-1.4)
$k_{\rm c} = 0.02375 \ldots$	$70 \pm 100$ (0.7)	$-56 \pm 94 \ (-0.6)$	$-159 \pm 93 (-1.7)$	$-164 \pm 101$ (-1.6)
$k_c = 0.02500 \dots$	$106 \pm 93$ ( 1.1)	6±97 ( 0.1)	-103 ± 98 (-1.1)	$-153 \pm 94 (-1.6)$









### Isocurvature modes ?



The isocurvature mode is favoured at the level of 2 sigmas. This kind of model is compatible with multi-field inflation.

But as we can see from the data, isocurvature modes are not enough to compensate the













### A simple amplitude test

Rescale the power spectrum in amplitude:

 $C_{\ell}(A) = A C_{\ell}^{\Lambda CDM}$ 

- Find the best-fit A as a function of maximum multipole I.
- There is a 99% "anomaly" for I<sub>max</sub>=30.
- The anomaly fades away at higher multipoles → where theory and data agree remarkably well.

< 1 at more then two  $\sigma$ 





- Theory (the ΛCDM model) fits the Planck data remarkably well at small and intermediate angular scale
- Planck shows that, at very large scales, the fit is not good → there is an "anomaly"?
- This may suggest that the standard accepted model is incomplete.





#### A known celestial source





## Does the other side of the Moon look alike?







Isotropy is a fundamental assumption of the LCDM model It must be tested.









#### Two sides of the same (celestial) sphere



#### Take the high resolution stuff out





#### Are the two hemispheres compatible?



European Space Agency

sa

#### So, what are we seeing?



- Are these anomalies a manifestation of some yet unknown physics?
   Perhaps. Are there interpretations?
  - Phenomenological, e.g. dipole modulation. Is the dipole influencing the higher multipoles for some reason?
  - Physical, e.g. Bianchi models (cosmologies of a non isotropic universe, Bianchi VII looks appealing.)
- But no really convincing explanation exists yet.
- The large scale modes in the CMB are very primordial. They can be traced back directly to the inflation. If there is really physics behind the anomalies, rest assured it is exiting.
- How do we move further?
- New theories  $\rightarrow$  new models to test
- Better observations by Planck  $\rightarrow$  polarization

#### **Bianchi model VII**





#### **Does Mr Bianchi get along with Mr Planck?**





## Large scale isotropy is challenged by Planck data.



Several effects at 99% statistical significance or more:

- ΛCDM in tension with large scale anisotropy
- Hemispherical asymmetry in power spectrum
- And there are other, possibly related anomalies. Read Planck Collaboration XIII today.
  - Multipole alignment
  - A very "cold" spot
  - Phase correlations
  - Even-odd parity power asymmetry
  - And others further.
- Thanks to Planck, we are certain that they are genuine features of the CMB.
- Are they related? Is there a fundamental reason?

#### Testing polarisation via stacking





esa

#### **Testing polarisation via stacking**

esa





#### **Polarisation around hot spots**

NTUDE DE FURILARIA





#### **Summary of Planck results**



- 6-parameter "vanilla" ACDM model fits data very well
  - No need for additional physics
- Estimated parameters are different from previous best results
  - More matter, less dark energy
  - Hubble constant smaller than commonly-held
  - Curvature very tightly constrained
- No evidence for >3 types of neutrinos
- No evidence for non-gaussianity
- New constraints on inflationary models
  - Single field slow-roll inflation is preferred (ns clearly just less than 1)
- Confirmation of WMAP anomalies
  - Deficit of power at large angular scales
- High significance of CMB lensing and CMB-CIB cross-correlation



#### The future for Planck



- Planck has already been a huge success
  - Has worked near-flawlessly since launch and well beyond baseline
  - Has met all performance requirements
  - With March 2013 release, has met most of its science goals already
- But Planck is not done: much more to come, including polarisation
- August 2013:
  - End of second LFI-only extension (total 8 sky surveys)
- October 2013:
  - Decommissioning / injection into "museum orbit"
- February 2014:
  - Delivery of full cryo- and first LFI-only extension data to ESA; release March 2014
- August 2014:
  - Delivery of new generation of products, including second LFI-only extension data to ESA; release September 2014



### Conclusions

- The 2013 Planck T map anisotropy leaves behind it a legacy which will stay for many years (...before next Planck release) and will not be replaced easily.
- Excellent agreement between the Planck temperature spectrum at high I and the predictions of the ΛCDM model.
- But...anomalies are also seen and will be investigated





### **Next release**

- 2014: Twice as much data (LFI is still in operation and in August 2013 it will reach 8 sky observed surveys)
- Expected results:
  - By measuring polarisation B modes Planck may detect primordial gravitational waves
  - From B modes we can measure the energy scale of inflation and constrain the nature of the "inflaton"
  - Next release wil be the input to understand if the "deviations" are fundamental and if we need a "new physics"





### **Cosmological parameters**

#### **6-parameters model**

Parameter		2013 uncertainty (Planck+WP)	Expected 2014 (Planck T+P)
Baryon density today	$\Omega_{ m b} h^2$	0.00028	0.00013
Cold dark matter density today	$\Omega_{ m c}h^2$	0.0027	0.0010
Thomson scattering optical depth	τ	0.013	0.0042
Hubble constant [km/s/Mpc]	H <sub>0</sub>	1.2	0.53
Scalar spectrum power-law index	n <sub>s</sub>	0.007	0.0031

#### **Constraints on other parameters**

	2013 uncertainty (Planck+WP)	Expected 2014 (Planck T+P)
N <sub>eff</sub>	0.42	0.18
Y <sub>p</sub>	0.035	0.010
W	0.32	0.20
$\alpha/\alpha_0$	0.0043	0.0018
	N <sub>eff</sub> Y <sub>p</sub> W α/α <sub>0</sub>	2013 uncertainty (Planck+WP)N <sub>eff</sub> 0.42Υ <sub>p</sub> 0.035W0.32α/α <sub>0</sub> 0.0043

→ Expected reduction in error bars by factors of 2 or more



67





The scientific results that we present today are a product of the Planck Collaboration, including individuals from more than 100 scientific institutes in Europe, the USA and Canada

