Light Inflation – Reconciling ϕ^4 Inflation with Planck and Experimental Prospects

F. Bezrukov

University of Connecticut & RIKEN-BNL Research Center USA

Exploring the Physics of Inflation Santander, Spain June 24–27, 2013





- **2** ϕ^4 inflation after Planck
 - Minimally coupled inflation
 - Non-minimally coupled inflation
- 3 Coupling to the SM and cosmological constraints
 - The full model
 - Constraints from reheating and radiative corrections
- 4 Anything interesting in the laboratory?
 - Direct inflaton search
 - Is the Higgs compatible?









spin 0

Outline Minimal BSM Non-minimal inflation Cosmological constraints Laboratory search Conclusions Standard Model and nothing else above up to Planck scale?

- No heavy particles/scales
 - no physical high scale quadratic contributions to the Higgs boson mass
 - hierarchy problem is not that scary (however, the gravity should be generous enough not to give quadratically divergent contributions)
 - Processes at the highest energy (inflation) may be directly related to the low energy properties

tline Minimal BSM Non-minimal inflation Cosmological constraints Laboratory search Conclusion

Some models that minimally expand the SM and have inflation

• Higgs inflation

 very direct relation of inflation and SM, some subtleties with the UV properties

• R^2 inflation

 purely gravitational solution, nothing interesting for the particle physics

• Light inflaton with non-minimal coupling

this talk, solution on the particle physics side

Note – the whole Universe evolution should be fully described within the model!

utline Minimal BSM Non-minimal inflation Cosmological constraints Laboratory search Conclusions

Some models that minimally expand the SM and have inflation

Higgs inflation

– very direct relation of inflation and SM, some subtleties with the UV properties

• R^2 inflation

- purely gravitational solution, nothing interesting for the particle physics

Light inflaton with non-minimal coupling

 this talk, solution on the particle physics side

Note – the whole Universe evolution should be fully described within the model!



Fields $\gtrsim M_P$, energy $\sim \lambda^{1/4} M_P$.

Non-minimal inflation





Non-minimal coupling to gravity leads to good inflation

Scalar action with non-minimal coupling

Non-minimal inflation

$$S = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \Biggl\{ -\frac{M_P^2}{2}R - \frac{\xi}{2}\phi^2 R + \frac{\partial_\mu \phi \partial^\mu \phi}{2} - \frac{\lambda}{4}\phi^4 \Biggr\}$$

Conformal transformation to the Einstein frame

$$\hat{g}_{\mu
u}=\sqrt{1+rac{\xi\phi^2}{M_P^2}}\,g_{\mu
u},$$

flattens the potential

$$V(\phi) \rightarrow \hat{V}(\phi) = \frac{V(\phi)}{\left(1 + \xi \phi^2 / M_P^2\right)^2} \xrightarrow{\xi > 0} M_P \phi$$

(Change of the field $\frac{d\chi}{d\phi} = \sqrt{\frac{1 + (\xi + 6\xi^2)\phi^2 / M_P^2}{(1 + \xi \phi^2 / M_P^2)^2}}$ is also needed)

$\begin{array}{c|c} \mbox{Minimal BSM} & \mbox{Non-minimal inflation} & \mbox{Cosmological constraints} & \mbox{Laboratory search} \\ \mbox{observed} & \mbox{Observed} & \mbox{The tensor perturbations are suppressed,} \\ & \mbox{inflaton self-coupling } \beta \mbox{ is increased} \end{array}$



[Tsujikawa, Gumjudpai'04, FB'08, Okada, Rehman, Shafi'10]







Specifically: the Higgs-inflaton scalar potential is

$$V(H,\phi) = \lambda \left(H^{\dagger}H - \frac{\alpha}{\lambda}\phi^{2}\right)^{2} + \frac{\beta}{4}\phi^{4} - \frac{1}{2}\mu^{2}\phi^{2} + V_{0}$$

We assumed here, that the scale invariance is broken in the inflaton sector only

[Shaposhnikov, Tkachev'06, Anisimov, Bartocci, FB'09, FB, Gorbunov'10,13]



CMB normalization sets $\beta(\xi)$

 $\beta = \frac{3\pi^2 \Delta_{\mathcal{R}}^2}{2} \frac{(1+6\xi)(1+6\xi+8(N+1)\xi)}{(1+8(N+1)\xi)(N+1)^3}$

 $\alpha \lesssim \beta^2$ (mass lower bound)

Inflation is not spoiled by the radiative corrections

CMB tensor modes bound ξ

$$r = \frac{16(1+6\xi)}{(N+1)(1+8(N+1)\xi)} \lesssim 0.15$$

 $\alpha > 10^{-7}$ (mass upper bound)

Sufficient reheating

- After inflation: empty & cold
- Needed: hot, $T_r \gtrsim 150 \text{ GeV}$ (to get baryogenesis)





line Minimal BSM Non-minimal inflation Cosmological constraints Laboratory search Conclusic 000 0000 000 000 000

Inflaton decays and lifetime

Coupled to everything proportional particle mass



Created in meson decays: Br $(B \rightarrow \chi X_{\rm s}) \simeq 10^{-6} \frac{\beta(\xi)}{1.5 \times 10^{-13}} \frac{300 \text{ MeV}}{m_{\chi}}^2$





Behaves as light "Higgs" boson, suppresed by $\theta = \sqrt{2\beta} v/m_{\gamma}$

- Created in meson decays
- Decays: *KK*, ππ, μμ, ee, ...
- Interacts with media: extremely weakly

Search (LHCb, Belle)

- Events with offset vertices in B decays
- Peaks in Daltiz plot of three body B decays

 Outline
 Minimal BSM
 Non-minimal inflation
 Cosmological constraints
 Laboratory search
 Conclusions

 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 <td

Another prediction: The Higgs boson can not be light

Inflation proceeds along $H^{\dagger}H = \frac{\alpha}{\lambda}X^2$

• The Higgs self-coupling λ : must be positive up to inflationary scales



Current experimental value: $m_H = 125.7 \pm 0.4 \,\text{GeV}$ (CMS)

Mass for
$$\lambda(\mu) = \beta_{\lambda}(\mu) = 0$$

 $M_{\text{min}} = \left[129.5 + \frac{M_{t} - 173.2\text{GeV}}{0.9\text{GeV}} \times 1.8 - \frac{\alpha_{s} - 0.1184}{0.0007} \times 0.6 \pm 2\right] \text{GeV}$

[FB, Kalmykov, Kniehl, Shaposhnikov'12, Degrassi et.al'12]





Vacuum stability



• Single filed quartic inflation with *small* non-minimal coupling is perfectly ok with the current CMB observations



- Conclusions 2: Cosmology and Particle Physics
 - An example of a model minimally extending SM without any heavy scales: a singlet scalar field with non-minimal coupling
 - Cosmological observations constrain the inflaton mass to be light (in GeV range) interesting for particle physics!
 - Further study
 - Detection of tensor modes is especially interesting to constrain the theory
 - The inflaton can be searched in low energy experiments rare B decays
 - Offset vertices in B decays
 - Peaks in B three body decay Dalitz plot
 - Higgs mass bounds top quark mass measurement is needed!

Backup slides

Dark matter – add ν MSM and stir



Role of sterile neutrinos N_1 (Warm) Dark Matter, $M_1 \sim 1-50 \text{ keV}$ $N_{2,3}$ Baryogenesys, $M_{2,3} \sim \dots$ GeV

http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0505013

Dark matter – add ν MSM and stir

A ν MSM inspired model with inflation χ

$$\mathcal{L} = (\mathcal{L}_{SM} + \bar{N}_{l}i\partial_{\mu}\gamma^{\mu}N_{l} - F_{\alpha l}\bar{L}_{\alpha}N_{l}\Phi - \frac{t_{l}}{2}\bar{N}_{l}^{c}N_{l}X + \text{h.c.}) + \frac{1}{2}(\partial_{\mu}X)^{2} - V(\Phi, X)$$

$$\Omega_N = \frac{1.6f(m_{\chi})}{S} \cdot \frac{\beta}{1.5 \times 10^{-13}} \cdot \left(\frac{M_1}{10 \text{keV}}\right)^3 \cdot \left(\frac{100 \text{ MeV}}{m_{\chi}}\right)^3 ,$$

DM sterile neutrino mass bound $M_1 \lesssim 13 \cdot \left(\frac{m_{\chi}}{300 \text{ MeV}}\right) \left(\frac{S}{4}\right)^{1/3} \cdot \left(\frac{T}{4}\right)^{1/3}$

$$\left(\frac{0.9}{f(m_{\chi})}\right)^{1/3}$$
 keV.

[Shaposhnikov, Tkachev'06, FB, Gorbunov'10,13]

Production: bound from $K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ + nothing$



Excluded: $m_\chi \lesssim 120 \text{ MeV}$ Disfavoured: 170 MeV $\lesssim m_\chi \lesssim 205 \text{ MeV}$



- S. Tsujikawa and B. Gumjudpai, Phys. Rev. D **69** (2004) 123523 http://arxiv.org/abs/astro-ph/0402185.
 - F. L. Bezrukov, http://arxiv.org/abs/0810.3165.
- N. Okada, M. U. Rehman and Q. Shafi, Phys. Rev. D 82 (2010) 043502 http://arxiv.org/abs/1005.5161.
 - M. Shaposhnikov, I. Tkachev, Phys. Lett. B 639 (2006) 414
 - A. Anisimov, Y. Bartocci, F. L. Bezrukov, Phys. Lett. B 671, 211 (2009)
- FB, D. Gorbunov, JHEP 05 (2010) 010 FB, D. Gorbunov, arXiv:1303.4395
- FB, M. Kalmykov, B, Kniehl, M. Shaposhnikov, JHEP **1210** (2012) 140, arXiv:1205.2893
- G. Degrassi et.al., JHEP **1208** (2012) 98
- J. Espinosa, G. Giudice, A. Riotto, JCAP **0805** (2008) 2, arXiv:0710.2484